

Appl. No. 09/494,444
Amdt. Dated July 7, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

Docket No. CM02999J
Customer No. 24,273

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 25 has been rewritten. Claims 1-7, 9-17, and 25-26 remain in the application.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as having insufficient antecedent basis for the terms “said transmitter included,” and “said controller is connected” in claim 25.

Applicant has amended claim 25 to correct the phrases for proper recitation and antecedent basis.


The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department
Law Department
8000 W. Sunrise Blvd.
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33322
Customer Number: 24,273

By: 

Scott M. Garrett
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 39,988

Telephone: 954-723-6449
Fax No.: 954-723-5599